24th March, 2010

**To**

**Ms.Kandace Bharath**

**Attorney at Law**

**Devesh Maharaj & Associates**

**Attorneys at Law**

**Mansfield Chambers**

**Mansfield House**

**No.24 Aberbromby Street**

**Port of Spain**

Dear Madam

**RE: The matter of Amrith Gokool and Leila Gokool v Maharaj Building Enterprises Limited**

**We are in receipt of your letter dated 19th March, 2010 explaining the queries about expense of the Pre action Protocol Letter.**

**The Claimants are required to send the Pre Action Protocol letters in accordance with the Practice Direction dated the 15th of November, 2005 made by the Judiciary of the Trinidad and Tobago.**

**However the Practice Direction did not state that the Defendants ought to pay the expense of the pre action Protocol Letter.**

**It is the responsibility of the Claimants to send those letters to the Defendants and the court costs will only be incurred at the instance of the matter being filed.**

**Hence we respectfully differ with you that the expenses pertaining to the pre Action protocol letter should be borne by the Defendants.**

Yours in Service,

**Christopher Ross Gidla**

Attorney at law